

being  
DAVE VAN ARNAM's  
written-on-stencil  
mailing comments,  
for inclusion in  
Apa F  
mlg #16,  
or perhaps  
some other  
number

a
p
a
FanoMail-
a is
t nt
i gn
Comme

FanoMatiC #  
FanoMatiC #15  
Vol. 3, No. 3  
23 Oct 64

I have this feeling that this is going to be a one-page only again...

THE AMATEUR EFFER #16 :: McInerney/Stiles/Lupoff      Add FREDONIA #11, of course...that's 57pp!

FREDONIA #11 :: John Boardman      The Darcy game is interesting. I'd sub if I cd afford it.

BATHTUB GIN #2 :: DLupoff/PLupoff/Van Arnam/Porter/McInerney/brown      Noted.

TONIGHT'S THE NIGHT 12 :: Steve Stiles      How do you so effortlessly manage to turn out the best writing in the mlg practically every TTN? ++ BBLackbeard's stuff wd have to be franked; I suggest it be franked by Everybody... +++ Your satires are extremely sharp; sure you wdn't rather be a writer than an artist?...

AN INVITATION TO: THE BEAR GARDEN :: Frank Lupoffs      Could that be a Goats-Price?

HYDRA 17 :: McInerney/Main      I didn't really research this, but here's a list of sorts: ADVERTISEMENTS FOR MYSELF, Mailer; THE THIN RED LINE, Jones; LETTERS OF EZRA POUND; HOW TO READ, Ezra Pound; CHIVALRY, Cabell; TURNABOUT, Thorne Smith; almost any Raymond Chandler; RICHARD II, Kendall; THE DEVIL IN VELVET, Carr; THE CHRONICLES OF CLEMENDY, Machen; THE NIGHT LAND, Hodgson; UP FRONT, Mauldin; CAWDOR, and GIVE YOUR HEART TO THE HAWKS, Jeffers; SWEET THURSDAY, Steinbeck; THE LADY'S NOT FOR BURNING, Fry; A GOATS-PRICE OF GIBBONS, Nashe. +++ No comments for Andy Main, I guess, tho I dig your position on Donaho, etc.

FIRST DRAFT #32 and FanoMatiC #14 :: Dave Van Arnam      Why not quit while you're ahead?

opo 15 :: Pat & Dick Lupoff      Gee, I don't think you understand about Lovecraft, Dick; but it may just boil down to whether one savors, as I do, HPL's fascinating prose style. EESmith and, to a lesser extent, Howard affect me in similar ways. +++ Ted, I think Dick's made a pot full of valuable remarks about various con mistakes of the past; I think we shd take his fanart show remarks, for instance, to heart and try to work something out here. +++ Apart from that, Dick, you are writing (as I've told you in conversation) a conreport that is getting better and better with each installment -- like, it's damn good, and ties with Steve Stiles this issue or mlg or something. +++ Your ERBian insights are priceless.

-----  
:: QWERTYUIOPress (!)  
Undecided Publication #53  
-----

...so much for bad intentions.

SAPrise! #1 :: Dave Van Arnam Pelz has edicted that after this (69th) SAPS mlg, there shall be no w/l franking of any kind; fortunately, several saps have offered me the opportunity to write a (no-page-count-credit) column for them, and I may just take them all up on it. I think they will each begin "This wd have been SAPrise! #, if, of course, it hadn't been for the Pelz Edict; therefore, this is merely dgv's No-Count Column (#)" Or maybe I won't. Well, at least Bruce let it go thru the mlg under his frank.

DEGLER (!) #17 :: Andy Porter Nice lil cartoons. ++++ What happened to your !?

Dear Friend... :: McInerney All right, buster, what package I am holding in my hand? ++ I see that Miss Butt is from St Pete (Note: You shd really spell out the N, or people may omit it -- a Bad Thing since all addresses have their South & North duplicates). Well, that's Pedantry for this issue.

the crudzine quarterly 16 :: rich brown Yes, gang, let's all get together and Pray for More Atheists

KILLDOZER #1 :: rich brown What are those little '66's, hey? ++ I cannot figure out the purpose of your Borders are, but they are mildly Snazzy. +++ I've about decided to vote No for President

PILLAR OF FIRE #10 :: rich brown Hey, maybe No-Count Column can appear in POF nextish and concern itself entirely with POF 10...or maybe not. I think I shd avoid much comment in detail on this, save it for SAPS. My reaction to the Cultoons was one of great and bitter disgust with their crude tastelessness; however, tho I wd only admit it to Apa F for the time being, I doubt that they are obscene in any way. But the Pelzes' response shd be interesting. +++ Ahh, what delicious eroboo coming across my name all the time! Amazing how pleasant it can be... ++ I don't entirely follow your initial remarks to Busby. ++ I look forward with keenest anticipation to Ted's response to your Eney remarks. Tho see his f/ractional for a possible shock -- Ted praises Dick Eney's work on the Convention Manual he and Scithers put out. Not faintly but roundly and generously. A bit surprising, but not incomprehensible when one knows Ted. +++ Your schtick on Chalker is so beautiful it makes me want to cry. Chalker's Pants, I predict, are going to become Famous in fandom... "You must be out of your rabbit-assed mind." I have that marked 'xx!'; Beautiful, in context! ++ Your comparison of the actual reaction to Walter's Exclusion and the probable fannish reaction to Wetzel's hypothetical one was brilliantly illuminative of the misdirection of the Exclusionist Clique.

COVER :: Steve Stiles I don't care if you don't like it, Steve, I think it's damn good.

The observant among you will have already noticed a curious event commemorated on the previous page. If you haven't, Up Your Taff -- you're under arrest for inattention. It certainly will be an Interesting Thing to see if FMC and FD look different this week... Hoping you are the sane, hey,